



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/450,551	11/30/1999	RAGHURAMA BHYRAVABHOTLA	8470	7808
75	90 02/07/2002			
PAUL W MARTIN			EXAMINER	
NCR CORPOR	MENT		RUDY, ANDREW J	
101 W SCHANTZ AVENUE DAYTON, OH 45479 ART UNIT			PAPER NUMBER	
•			2167	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/450,551	BHYRAVABHOTLA			
navicely modell	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>		
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	2167			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The phrase "independent of a status request" along with the other proposed claim limitations from proposed claims 1, 6 and 11 was not presented in the previous prosecution history.

Continuation of 10. Other: It is further noted that the proposed amendment was not presented to the USPTO in proper format as a "clean" copy of amended claims 1, 6 and 11 was not provided to the USPTO. See, 37 CFR 1.121(c).

Application/Control Number: 09/450,551

Art Unit: 2167

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 8am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-2183. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular

communications and 703-746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

\*\*\*

February 6, 2002

Richard Chilcot

Separationy Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2000

2167

Page 2